	Question	Answer
1	RFP section L, Volume I, Factor 1 – Can the government please clarify if condensed resumes are acceptable due to the limited page count (10 pages) for Factor 1? If the government desires one page resumes for each person proposed, will expanding the page limit to 15 or 20 pages be considered	The Government will update the page limit to 20 pages, to allow for one-page resumes to be submitted for proposed performers
2	RFP section L, Volume I, Factor 1 - Can the government please confirm that offerors who price in and provide resumes (of Link 22 SMEs) will be evaluated higher than offerors who do not provide resumes or have TBDs in the cost model? In addition, can the government clarify if resumes are needed for proposed personnel who are not supporting actual training or training curriculum development (i.e. a project manager, technical writers, admin support, security support, etc.)?	When personnel are named and resumes are provided the Government can perform a more accurate cost and risk analysis, which may or may not result in a higher evaluation. Yes, resumes are required for all personnel proposed to work on this contract.
3	PWS section 4.e. - Can the government please clarify that the unlimited rights requirement only exists on the development of government furnished material? Can the government also clarify that where an existing commercial training product exists, there will be no expectations to limit the contractor with continued sale and delivery to legitimate third parties of that product, even when that product is developed in tandem with the NILE PMO material?	The Government has no desire to limit a vendor's sales of its own product. However, if the product, and modifications to the product, are developed or delivered under this contract, the Government expects Unlimited Rights, or at least Government Purpose Rights (and commercial equivalent) to meet the Link 22 program long-term goals and Memorandum of Understanding obligations.
4	PWS Section 4.1.4 - Can the government please clarify that the train the trainer course only covers the learning objectives covered in section 4.a, or if other learning objectives in section 4 will also be expected to be covered?	The Train the Trainer course should cover the learning objectives covered in section 4.a. of the PWS, but must ensure that attendees are trained in how to deliver the course in their respective nations for distributed training.
5	Will the Government consider a proposal extension to 29 July 2022?	Yes, the RFP submission deadline is updated to 29 July 2022. An amendment to the RFP is forthcoming.
6	Can the government please provide clarification on how offerors should provide FFP pricing for the Online virtual self-paced course? Since there is no estimated numbers of users anticipated, does the government desire a per user cost for the Online virtual self-paced course?	The PWS para 4.1.2 has been updated with the following information "The platform shall be able to accommodate a minimum of 20 simultaneous connections without a decrease in performance. (If the Contractor is able to offer more simultaneous connections, the Government will update the resulting contract/PWS with the connections proposed). " An amendment to the RFP is forthcoming.
7	PWS para 4- This section of the PWS defines the requirements for all Link 22 training courses.• 4.a defines learning objectives • 4.b defines specialty training for technical attendees • 4.c defines specialty training for operator attendees • 4.d. defines DLP operations However, in subsequent sections where the different types of training are described, sections 4.b, 4.c and 4.d appear to be omitted.	This was a result of an administrative error with numbering in the PWS. This has been rectified in the latest version of the PWS

	• 4.1.1 Classroom requires only 4.a • 4.1.2 Online self-paced requires only 4.a.i • 4.1.3 Online instructor led requires only 4.a • 4.1.4 Train the trainer requires only 4.a, but also refers to 4.b Please clarify which learning objectives as detailed in 4.a through 4.d are required for each of the types of training courses.	(v8).
8	PWS para 4.d states - "*The Operator training materials shall describe the Data Link Processor (DLP) and its operation in sufficient detail for the DLP operator to perform…*"Since the DLP is a National Responsibility (per Figure 1) and will differ from each NILE partner, will a DLP be provided as GFI? Or is the Offeror free to utilize their own DLP to facilitate this course content?	The PWS has been updated to answer this question and clarify the Government's requirements.
9	PWS para 4.1.3 Online virtual instructor-led course requirements: PWS states "*The Contractor shall deliver up to six distributed Link 22 Operator and three distributed Technician training courses per calendar year and each course will be limited to 30 attendees.*" However, Att 6 FFP Training Services, Evaluation Purposes tab and N0003922R1011-0001, Volume II: Cost, table on page 5 and 8 all indicate qty 12 per year. Please confirm the correct estimated quantity per year.	The correct estimated quantity is 12 per calendar year.
10	PWS para 4.1.4 Train the Trainer course requirements] PWS states "*The Contractor should be prepared to deliver the classroom based Link 22 T3 course up to 2 times per calendar year,…*" However, Att 6 FFP Training Services, Evaluation Purposes tab and N0003922R1011-0001, Volume II: Cost, table on page 5 and 8 all indicate qty 3 per year. Please confirm the correct estimated quantity per year.	The correct estimated quantity is 3 per calendar year.
11	Extension Evaluation, page 10- RFQ states "*For the purposes of the evaluation of the six-month extension, the Government will use 50% of the total price of option 4 at the most probable quantities listed above plus ODCs.*" However, there is no instructions to estimate the extension period in the RFP, nor is there an entry for the extension in Att 4 or Att 6. Please confirm if the Offeror is to estimate a 6 month extension for the FFP and CPFF CLINs.	Attachment 4, Summary Tab is updated with the six month extension.
12	ODC CLINs X003 are inconsistently reflected in the RFP. Please confirm the correct NTE value.	The RFP and Attachment 4, Prime Pricing Model is updated with $61,055.
13	The "Direct & Indirect Rates" tab lists Historical Year 1, 2, and 3 and states (Actual Current Labor Rate) to provide historical rates. What rates are being referred to here as this would be considered a new award? What years?	Please refer to the Indirect rates paragraph under section L, Instructions to Offerors, CLIN X002 CPFF Submission (Page 66 on the conformed RFP or Page 10 on the Amendment 02).
14	Instruction to Offerors, Factor 4 states "Large Business Vendors shall discuss their proposed approach to ensuring that at least 32.25% of the total subcontracted amount of their proposal represents awards to Small Business concerns consistent with the following desired NAVWAR Subcontracting Goals." Please clarify if the 32.25% target will be measured by the total subcontracted amount or the total contract value. For example, if the total contract award value is $1M and the total subcontracted value is $100K is the small business goal 32.25% of $1M or $100K?	The 32.25% will be evaluated based on the total subcontracted amount of the proposal.

15	Should Volume II only include Attachments 4 (and 5 for subcontractors as required) and no further substantiation? Will the supporting/substantiation data only be required IF adequate competition does not exist?	Offerors should refer to "CLIN X002 Cost Plus Fixed Fee (CPFF) Substantiation" in Section L of the RFP which explains what should be included for cost realism purposes in their cost narrative for CPFF CLIN's. Should additional information be required after receipt of proposals, the contractor will need to comply with providing additional substantiation IAW if requested by the contracting officer.